

AUTHORSHIP IN A MEDICAL PAPER

Sajjad Ahmad¹, Habibullah Khan²

¹ Department of Pathology, Gomal Medical College, D.I.Khan, ² Rauf Medical center, D.I.Khan, Pakistan

Manuscript authorship and author placement have important implications for accountability and allocation of credit. Different studies have been conducted on what author can contribute in an article, what is his or her responsibility and how accountability of an author can be done. The conventional patterns of author order and contribution suggest a consistent theme. Authors can be classified as either “primary,” “contributing,” or “supervisory,” each with specified contributions. More than one author may be classified into each author category.¹

Honorary authorship is the intentional misrepresentation of credit to an individual whose contributions to a biomedical article do not meet the criteria for authorship established by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE), which is composed of editors of prestigious scientific journals from all continents. Also known as “guest” or “gift” authorship, this practice inflates the bibliography of the honorary author while it dilutes recognition of the input of authors who meet the criteria for authorship. Honorary authorship also distorts the promotion criteria for those aspiring to an academic career. Consequently, honorary authorship is a major ethical problem.²

Ideal authors' reputations depends on complete understanding and the ability to explain the major theme of their article. Various guidelines and traditions specify that all co-authors must be able to understand and support a paper's major points. Authors and co-authors, are usually expected to have made practical attempts to check findings submitted for publication. Additionally, authors are expected to keep all study data for later examination even after publication.

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Habibullah Khan
Consultant Physician
Rauf Medical center,
D.I.Khan, Pakistan
E.mail: habibgmc@hotmail.com

Date Submitted: 17-08-2017

Date Revised: 28-08-2017

Date Accepted: 05-09-2017

The ICMJE recommends that authorship be based on the following criteria:

Substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work or the acquisition, analysis or interpretation of data for the work.

Drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content.³

In addition, an author should be able to identify which co-authors are specifically responsible for other parts of the work and authors should have confidence in the integrity of the contributions of their co-authors. Authors are also commonly required to provide information about ethical aspects of research, particularly where research involves human or animal participants or use of biological material. Provision of incorrect information to journals may be regarded as misconduct. The majority of recent cases of alleged misconduct involve undisclosed conflicts of interest or failure of the authors to have seen scientific data.^{3,4}

We believe that authorship order should follow the amount of work a person has done for the paper. First author should be the person who has done the most work, and so on. In other words the order is always significant and should recognize the work done by each author.

REFERENCES

1. Baerlocher MO, Newton M, Gautam T, Tomlinson G, Detsky AS. The meaning of author order in medical research. *J Investig Med* 2007;55:174-80.
2. Eisenberg RL, Ngo LH, Bankier AA. Honorary authorship in radiologic research articles: Do geographic factors influence the frequency? *Radiology* 2014;271:472-8.
3. Haque AU. Who should be the author in a medical paper. *Int J Pathol* 2010;8:1-2
4. Ross JS, Hill KP, Egilman DS, Krumholz HM. Guest authorship and ghostwriting in publications related to rofecoxib: a case study of industry documents from rofecoxib litigation. *JAMA* 2008; 299:1800-12.